

CITY OF MERCER ISLAND
COMMUNITY PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

9611 SE 36TH STREET | MERCER ISLAND, WA 98040
PHONE: 206.275.7605 | www.mercergov.org



CITY USE ONLY

Date Received

File No

Received By

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

PURPOSE OF CHECKLIST

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An environmental impact statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

PRE-APPLICATION MEETING

A pre-application meeting is used to determine whether a land use project is ready for review, to review the land use application process, and to provide an opportunity for initial feedback on a proposed application. Some land use applications require a pre-application – in particular: short and long subdivisions, lot line revisions, shoreline permits, variances, and critical area determinations. The City strongly recommends that all land use applications use the pre-application process to allow for feedback by City staff.

Please note: pre-application meetings are held on Tuesdays, by appointment. To schedule a meeting, submit the meeting request form and the pre-application meeting fee (see fee schedule). Meetings must be scheduled at least one week in advance. Applicants are required to upload a project narrative, a list of questions/discussion points, and preliminary plans to the Mercer Island File Transfer Site one week ahead of the scheduled meeting date.

SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

In addition to the items listed below, the code official may require the submission of any documentation reasonably necessary for review and approval of the land use application. An applicant for a land use approval and/or development proposal shall demonstrate that the proposed development complies with the applicable regulations and decision criteria.

- A. **Completed pre-application.**
- B. **Development Application Sheet.** Application form must be fully filled out and signed.
- C. **Development Plan Set.** Please refer to the Land Use Application- Plan Set Guide in preparing plans.
- D. **Title Report.** Less than 30 days old.
- E. **SEPA checklist.**

INSTRUCTIONS FOR APPLICANTS

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply." Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later. Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the governmental agencies can assist you. The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

USE OF CHECKLIST FOR NONPROJECT PROPOSALS

For nonproject proposals complete this checklist and the supplemental sheet for nonproject actions (Part D). The lead agency may exclude any question for the environmental elements (Part B) which they determine do not contribute meaningfully to the analysis of the proposal. For nonproject actions, the references in the checklist to the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proposer," and "affected geographic area," respectively.

A. BACKGROUND

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:

Cheshire Short Plat

2. Name of applicant:

Tim McHarg, AICP, Van Ness Feldman

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:

719 2nd Ave, Suite 1150, Seattle WA 98104

4. Date checklist prepared:

July 8, 2020

5. Agency requesting checklist:

City of Mercer Island

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

Review/approval of preliminary short plat: July – December, 2020

Review of site development/engineering permit: January – February, 2021

Bonding of short plat improvements: March, 2021

Final short plat review/approval: April – May, 2021

Building permit review/approval: June – July, 2021

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansions, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain:

No

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal:

Geotechnical Report, prepared by Geotech Consultants, dated May 2, 2016 (previously reviewed and approved by City of Mercer Island as part of Chesire DADU project); Critical Area Study, prepared by Watershed Company, dated November, 2017 (previously reviewed and approved by City of Mercer Island under CAO16-003); Geotechnical Report, prepared by Terra Associates, dated May 12, 2020.

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain:

No.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known:

Preliminary short plat
Site development/engineering plan approval
Inspection of short plat improvements
Final short plat
Building permit

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)

Two lot preliminary short plat of subject property. The resulting lots will be approximately 11,154 (Lot 1) and 77,402 (Lot 2) square feet. Access to the new Lot 1 will be by a new driveway from SE 76th Street. No impacts to on site wetland and stream critical areas or buffers are proposed. Critical area review was previously completed as CAO16-003.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

7615 E Mercer Way, Parcel No. 3024059036
SW-30-24-5

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. Earth

a. General description of the site (check one):

Flat Rolling Hilly Steep slopes Mountainous Other

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

Slopes on the site are varied. In the far western portion of the site, slopes exceed 40% and reach 100% in areas. From the toe of the steep slopes, the site moderates to 33% slopes before flattening to a bench where the existing residence and DADU are located. No additional grading or development is proposed in these areas. The proposed new Lot 1 will be located in the northeast portion of the site. There is an approximately 28.5 feet of vertical relief from the southwest corner to the northeast corner of Lot 1. The distance between the highest and lowest elevation on Lot 1 is approximately 235 feet, resulting in a slope of approximately 12% across the new lot $((128.5' - 100')/235' = 28.5'/235' = 12.1\%)$ per the MICC definition of "slope."

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

The soil conditions at the site generally consist of approximately 9 feet of medium dense silty sand with gravel overlying approximately 15 feet of loose to medium dense sand with gravel over medium stiff to stiff silt and clay to the termination of the test boring.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

No. There are no surface indications of unstable soils. Boring 5 in the 2016 GeoTech Consultants Geotechnical Report describes some of the silt soils as ancient landslide soil. For this reason, a slope stability analysis was conducted as part of the 2020 Terra Associates Geotechnical Report. Based on the slope stability analysis, the proposed development will increase the overall stability of the site.

e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

With finish floor elevations of 122 feet and 112 feet, grading will consist of cuts and fills from one to ten feet. The approximate amount of cut is 95 cubic yards, and the approximate amount of fill is 140 cubic yards. The resulting net grading quantity is approximately 45 cubic yards of fill $(140 - 95 = 45)$. Fill sources have yet to be determined, but will be approved by the geotechnical engineer for all structural applications.

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

The site is located in an erosion hazard area. The soils observed on-site are classified as Kitsap Silt Loam, 15 to 30 percent slopes (KpD) by the United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). With the existing slope gradients, these soils will have a severe potential for erosion when exposed.

Implementation of temporary and permanent Best Management Practices (BMPs) for preventing and controlling erosion will be required and will mitigate the erosion hazard, as recommended in the 2020 Terra Associates Geotechnical Report.

- g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

Approximately 2,415 sf of impervious surface will be developed on Lot 1. With an approximate lot area of 11,150 sf, this is an impervious surface coverage of approximately 22%

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

Follow all recommendations of the 2020 Terra Associates Geotechnical Report regarding erosion control, landslide hazards, and seismic hazards.

2. Air

- a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e., dust, automobile, odors, and industrial wood smoke) during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

Emissions typical for construction of a single family residence are expected, including exhaust from heavy equipment and gas powered machinery. Upon completion of construction, emissions typical for a single family residence are expected, including exhaust from heating and cooking.

- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

No.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

Minimize idling of construction equipment and dust suppression as part of construction site management.

3. Water

- a. Surface:

- i. Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

Yes. As delineated in the approved 2017 Critical Area Study by Watershed Company, there is a Category III wetland (Wetland A) and a Type 2 watercourse (Watercourse A) located on the project site. Watercourse A flows into Lake Washington.

- ii. Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

Work required for the short plat and future single family residence will be approximately 300 feet from Wetland A, but it will be within 200 feet of portions of Watercourse A.

- iii. Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

No fill or dredge material will be placed or removed from Wetland A or Watercourse A.

- iv. Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

No surface water withdrawals or diversions are proposed.

- v. Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

No portions of the project site are within a 100 year floodplain.

- vi. Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

No waste materials will be discharged to Wetland A or Watercourse A as part of the proposal.

b. Ground

- i. Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well? Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

The proposal does not include any wells. The new single family residence will be served by municipal water service. There will be no discharges to groundwater, including stormwater, because infiltration is infeasible based upon existing soils.

- ii. Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, [containing the following chemicals...]; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

No waste material will be discharged into the ground. The new single family residence will be served by municipal sewer service. There will be no discharges to into the ground, including stormwater, because infiltration is infeasible based upon existing soils.

c. Water runoff (including stormwater):

- i. Describe the source of runoff (including stormwater) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

Per the TIR prepared by CORE Design, stormwater will be collected and detained on the site prior to release. Following detention, stormwater exits the site along the east property line into the flow line of E Mercer Way. Runoff travels north east briefly until it enters the existing 12" pipe conveyance system. The conveyance system then flows east approximately 200 ft before entering an open water stream for approximately 40 feet. The runoff then enters into another pipe system that continues to flow east before exiting into another open water stream for 60 feet. Finally the stream enters a pipe system and discharges directly into Lake Washington.

- ii. Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

The risk of waste materials entering ground or surface waters for the proposal is typical for other single family residential uses in the area.

- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any:

Avoidance of impacts to Wetland A and Watercourse A, including buffer impacts. Connection to municipal water and sewer service. Implementation of all feasible stormwater BMPs.

4. Plants

- a. Check types of vegetation found on the site
- Deciduous tree: Alder, Maple, Aspen, other
 - Evergreen tree: Fir, Cedar, Pine, other
 - Shrubs
 - Grass
 - Pasture
 - Crop or grain
 - Wet soil plants: Cattail, buttercup, bulrush, skunk cabbage, other
 - Water plants: Water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other
 - Other types of vegetation
- . What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

Per the Tree Protection Plan prepared by ABC Consulting Arborists, there are 31 trees 6" and greater DBH within the boundaries of Lot 1 of the proposed short plat. Of these 31 trees, 9 were not viable for retention per the arborist's analysis and will be removed. Of the remaining 22 trees, 9 conflict with improvements required for the short plat and will be removed. 13 trees will be retained, 10 of which are greater than 10" DBH.

- c. List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

None.

- d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

21 trees will be planted to mitigate for the viable trees removed for the short plat. Existing native vegetation will be retained wherever feasible, including the entirety of the 60 foot buffer of Watercourse A.

- e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.

Himalayan blackberry, English ivy, Butterfly bush, and Japanese knotwood are located near the site.

5. Animals

- a. State any birds and animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site. Examples include:

Birds: , heron, , , other:

Mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other:

Fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other:

- b. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

The approved 2017 Critical Area Study by Watershed Company identifies a Bald Eagle nest in the vicinity of the project site. All portions of proposed Lot 1 are greater than 660 feet from the location of the Bald Eagle nest.

- c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

As with all parts of Washington, the site is within the Pacific Flyway for migratory birds.

- d. Proposed measure to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

21 trees will be planted to mitigate for the viable trees removed for the short plat. Existing native vegetation will be retained wherever feasible, including the entirety of the 60 foot buffer of Watercourse A.

- e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

None.

6. Energy and natural resources

- a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

Electric and natural gas. Solar is likely not viable due to the existing tree canopy.

- b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

No.

- c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

The future single family residence will meet or exceed all requirements of the WA Energy Code.

7. Environmental health

- a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

No.

- i. Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.

None.

- ii. Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.

None.

- iii. Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during

the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.

None.

- iv. Describe special emergency services that might be required.

None, other than what is typical for a single family residence.

- v. Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

Because there are no probable adverse environmental health impacts, no mitigation measures are required.

- b. Noise

- i. What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

Traffic noise is generated from vehicles on East Mercer Way.

- ii. What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

During construction, short term noise impacts from heavy equipment and machinery will occur. Upon completion of construction, long term noise impacts will be typical of single family residential use.

- iii. Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

All construction activities will follow limitations on hours of construction and construction site management requirements of the MICC.

8. Land and shoreline use

- a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.

The project site is currently used for residential use. The additional single family residence on the new Lot 1 will be consistent with surrounding uses and will not affect current land uses.

- b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?

No.

- c. Describe any structures on the site.

Existing structures include a single family residence and DADU.

- d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

No.

- e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

RS-9.6

- f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

Single family residential (R)

- g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

The project site is not within the shoreline jurisdiction.

- h. Has any part of the site been classified as an “environmentally sensitive” area? If so, specify.

Yes, portions of the site are designated as geologically hazardous areas. See the Geotechnical Report, prepared by Geotech Consultants, dated May 2, 2016 (previously reviewed and approved by City of Mercer Island as part of Chesire DADU project) and the Geotechnical Report, prepared by Terra Associates, dated May 12, 2020, for a complete analysis of these geologically hazardous areas.

Portions of the site are designated as critical areas due to the presence of a wetland, watercourse, and wildlife habitat area. See the Critical Area Study, prepared by Watershed Company, dated November, 2017 (previously reviewed and approved by City of Mercer Island under CAO16-003) for a complete analysis of these critical areas.

- i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

It can be anticipated that the new single family residence would accommodate a household consistent with the median household size of Mercer Island of 2.48 persons.

- j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

No people would be displaced as a result of the proposal.

- k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

Because there are no probable adverse displacement impacts, no mitigation measures are required.

- l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

Compliance with all applicable land use goals and policies of the Mercer Island Comprehensive Plan and regulations of the MICC.

9. Housing

- a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

One market rate single family residential unit will be provided by the proposal.

- b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

No housing units will be eliminated by the proposal.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

Because there are no probable adverse housing impacts, no mitigation measures are required.

10. Aesthetics

- a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas? What is the principal exterior material(s) proposed?

The new single family residence would not exceed the 30 foot height limit of the R-9.6 zone. Any proposal to exceed the 30 foot height limit through a variance would be a separate and subsequent action from the current proposal.

- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

Because of the sloping topography of the lot, no views will be altered or obstructed.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetics impacts, if any:

Because there are no probable adverse aesthetic impacts, no mitigation measures are required.

11. Light and glare

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

Light and glare produced will be typical of single family residential use.

- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

No. All light fixtures will be located and designed to avoid safety hazards. Because of the sloping topography of the lot, no views will be altered or obstructed by light or glare from the new residence.

- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

None.

- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

All lighting will comply with applicable requirements of the MICC.

12. Recreation

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

Clarke Beach Park is across East Mercer Way from the project site.

- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

No.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

The new single family residence will be subject to park impact fees at the time of building permit issuance.

13. Historic and cultural preservation

- a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers? If so, specifically describe.

No.

- b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.

No.

- c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.

No evidence of historic or archaeological resources are present on the project site, so no further assessment has been performed.

- d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.

Because there are no probable adverse historic or archaeological impacts, no mitigation measures are required.

14. Transportation

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

The subject property is a corner lot with frontage on East Mercer Way and SE 76th Street. Access to the new Lot 1 will be by a new driveway from SE 76th Street. See Civil Plans.

- b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

The closest transit stop is approximately 0.7 miles from the project site and is located at Island Crest Way and SE 78th Street. This stop is served by the Route 204 DART line.

- c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or nonproject proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate?

The new single family residence would have a minimum of two parking spaces. No parking spaces would be eliminated by the proposal.

- d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

No improvements to existing public roads or transportation facilities are anticipated.

- e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

No.

- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and non-passenger vehicles). What data or transportation models

were used to make these estimates?

Trip generation would be typical for a single family detached residence and is estimated at approximately 10 trips per day, with one AM and one PM peak hour trip.

- g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.

No.

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

The new single family residence will be subject to transportation impact fees at the time of building permit issuance.

15. Public services

- a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example; fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

The new single family residence will increase need for public service at a rate consistent with that land use.

- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

The new single family residence will be subject to school impact fees at the time of building permit issuance. The new single family residence will result in increased property and sales taxes to the City of Mercer Island, King County and other public entities that levy taxes.

16. Utilities

- a. Check utilities currently available at the site:

Electricity <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Natural Gas <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Water <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Refuse Service <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Telephone <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sanitary sewer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Septic system <input type="checkbox"/>	Other <input type="checkbox"/>

- b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

Electricity and natural gas service are provided by PSE. Water and sewer service are provided by the City of Mercer Island. Refuse, recycling and compost service is provided by Recology. Telephone service is provided by Century Link.

C. SIGNATURE

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the answers to the attached SEPA Checklist are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: _____

Date Submitted: July 13, 2020